UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,520	01/30/2006	Christian Prentner	10119499	7350
27387 NORRIS MC	7590 08/07/2007 LAUGHIN & MARCH		EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 875 THIRD AVE			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK,	TH FLOOR EW YORK, NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/566,520	PRENTNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u> </u>	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	,					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 30166	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application				

Art Unit: 3637

Information Disclosure Statement

Page 2

The information disclosure statement filed January 30, 2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally <u>limited to a single</u> <u>paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words</u>. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there are no headings, e.g. "Brief Description of the Drawings", in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: stating the terms spring and catch in parenthesis is redundant. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For claim 1, the claim is worded in such a way that it is confusing whether or not the automatic retraction device is a single feature in the claim or if it includes the pawl component, etc. described later in the claim. Next for claim 1, it is indefinite to "optionally" claim a feature. The feature should either be positively claimed or not claimed at all. Also for claim 1, "the ...outer rails", "the first end position", "the catch" (first appears in "for" statement) and "the closed position" lack antecedent basis. Furthermore for claim 1, it is unclear whether or not the drawer is to be claimed in combination with the guide. In the preamble of the claim, only the guide is claimed; however, in the body of the claim, the pawl component is moved relate to the direction of the movement of the drawer. (See claim 2 also) For claim 2, "the web" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3637

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. (Namely the prior art fails to teach a drawer guide assembly having an automatic retraction device including: a pawl housing on one of the rails with a pawl component which is movable between two end positions, the pawl component being biased by a spring arrangement into one first end position and lockable in the other second end position against retraction into the first end position and having a receptacle, a catch which is provided on other of the guide rails moves into the receptacle as the rails move relative to each other when approaching their closed positions, thereby disengaging the pretensioned movable pawl component from the associated end position so that the pawl component is moved under the effect of spring tension into the first end position and by way of the catch held in the receptacle entrains the rail associated therewith in the direction of retraction of the drawer, a damper which acts on the pawl component being provided on or in the pawl housing to damp and/or slow down the retraction movement of the pawl component, characterized in that an entraining rocker which is coupled to the pawl component and is movable during a final part of the retraction movement of the pawl component is additionally provided in the pawl housing and during the initial displacement path of the pawl component is decoupled therefrom and is retained so that it is secured against longitudinal displacement in the pawl housing, and that a separate spring which biases the entraining rocker in the direction of retraction engages on the entraining rocker.)

Art Unit: 3637

Claims 2-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (571) 272-6869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wilkens August 5, 2007 JANET M. WILKENS PRIMARY EXAMINER PATUH3437